**FILED** 

## NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FEB 16 2006

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

## FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

No. 05-50118

Plaintiff - Appellee,

D.C. No. CR-03-01259-WJR-1

V.

DARRELL JOHN LACHAPELLE,

**MEMORANDUM**\*

Defendant - Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Central District of California William J. Rea, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted February 13, 2006 \*\*

Before: FERNANDEZ, RYMER, and BYBEE, Circuit Judges.

Darrell John LaChapelle appeals from his conviction and 92-month sentence imposed following his guilty-plea conviction for bank fraud, money laundering and conspiracy, all in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1344, 1956(a)(1), 2(b) and 371.

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), counsel for LaChapelle has filed a brief stating there are no grounds for relief, and a motion to withdraw as counsel of record. LaChapelle has filed a pro se supplemental brief, and the government has filed an answering brief.

Our examination of the briefs and independent review of the record, pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 83-84 (1988), disclose no grounds for relief. We therefore **GRANT** counsel's motion to withdraw and **AFFIRM** the district court's judgment.

## AFFIRMED.